


**MEMORANDUM
COUNTY OF PLACER
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
PROCUREMENT SERVICES DIVISION**

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors
FROM: David Seward, Purchasing Manager 
DATE: May 22, 2007
SUBJECT: Approve the Award of Competitive Request for Qualifications No. 9643 for Professional Consulting Services for Public Work Projects in Eastern Placer County and Adopt Four Lists of Qualified Firms

ACTION REQUESTED

Adopt four qualified lists of consulting firms to provide professional consulting services for various public work projects in eastern Placer County, effective for a three-year period.

BACKGROUND

The Procurement Services Division developed a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for professional consulting services for various public work projects in eastern areas of Placer County. The RFQ included four disciplines: Civil Engineering Design, Surveying, Revegetation Design and Inspections and Threatened/Endangered Plant Evaluations; and Cultural Resource Evaluations. Firms were able to propose on any number of disciplines. These services would be requested on an as-needed basis by the Department of Public Works' Tahoe Design Division. Two hundred eighty-five firms were notified via postcard of RFQ No. 9643, and it was published on the Procurement section of the County website. Formal responses were received from 38 firms.

An evaluation panel rated the submittals in accordance with the evaluation criteria contained in the RFQ. Attachment A shows the panel's individual and collective scores. The final rankings are the result of the panel's consensus after discussion. The RFQ stated the intent to award to approximately 5 to 6 firms for each discipline, however, based on the overall scores, the panel determined that it is appropriate to award to the top 9, 6, 7 and 6 firms for each discipline named above, respectively.

Your Board's approval is required to ratify the panel's recommendation. As a result, the firms will be placed on a qualified list effective for 3 years from May 22, 2007 through May 21, 2010.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no immediate fiscal impact resulting from this action. Individual contracts will be negotiated with one or more firms as needed, and the resulting expenditures will be funded from existing budgets or project funds, as appropriate. Contracts of less than \$50,000.00 may be executed by the Purchasing Manager. Contracts of \$50,000.00 or more will require your Board's approval.

Attachment A: Evaluation Spreadsheet

Cc: Peter Kraatz, Deputy Director, Public Works

**COUNTY OF PLACER, AUBURN, CA
EVALUATION OF RFQ NO. 9643 - PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR PUBLIC WORK
PROJECTS IN EASTERN AREAS OF PLACER COUNTY**

CONSULTANTS:	Rater 1		Rater 2		Rater 3		Aggregate Score	Final Rank
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank		
CDM, Truckee, CA	95.5	1	95.4	1	97.0	3	287.9	1
Nichols Consulting Engineers, Reno, NV	85.0	7	88.0	7	94.0	4 (tie)	267.0	2
Stantec Consulting, Sacramento, CA	76.0	11 (tie)	89.9	4	100.0	1 (tie)	265.9	3
Wildan, Sacramento, CA	88.0	3	86.0	8	83.0	13	257.0	4
Lumos & Associates, Carson City, NV	76.5	10	93.4	2	85.0	11 (tie)	254.9	5
Pastore-Ryan, Kings Beach, CA	76.0	11 (tie)	84.0	9	94.0	4 (tie)	254.0	6
Psomas, Roseville, CA	83.5	8	89.4	5	80.0	14 (tie)	252.9	7 (tie)
HDR Engineering, Folsom, CA	90.0	2	90.9	3	72.0	19	252.9	7 (tie)
Wood Rodgers, Reno, NV	74.0	13	88.8	6	90.0	7 (tie)	252.8	9
PBS&J, Reno, NV	65.0	15 (tie)	69.8	14	90.0	7 (tie)	224.8	10
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., Rancho Cordova, CA	85.5	6	63.3	17	74.0	18	222.8	11
Auerbach Engineering Corporation, Tahoe City, CA	44.0	20	77.1	13	100.0	1 (tie)	221.1	12
Gary Davis Group, Tahoe City, CA	64.0	17	66.9	15	90.0	7 (tie)	220.9	13
Winzler & Kelly, West Sacramento, CA	65.0	15 (tie)	77.6	12	75.0	17	217.6	14
Harris & Associates, Sacramento, CA	70.0	14	61.3	19	80.0	14 (tie)	211.3	15
TLA, Roseville, CA	87.0	4	78.3	11	45.0	23 (tie)	210.3	16
Entrix, Inc., S. Lake Tahoe, CA	61.0	18	64.5	16	80.0	14 (tie)	205.5	17
JWA Consulting Engineers, Zephyr Cove, NV	32.5	22	79.0	10	90.0	7 (tie)	201.5	18
K.B. Foster, Carnelian Bay, CA	38.5	21	58.8	20	92.0	6	189.3	19
David Evans and Associates, Roseville, CA	81.0	9	44.8	22	45.0	23 (tie)	170.8	20
MRO Engineers, Inc., Rocklin, CA	86.0	5	48.8	21	35.0	25 (tie)	169.8	21
Quincy Engineering, Sacramento, CA	60.5	19	63.0	18	35.0	25 (tie)	158.5	22
JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc., Reno, NV	26.0	23	28.3	25	85.0	11 (tie)	139.3	23
Morton & Pitalo, Inc., Sacramento, CA	20.0	24	41.3	23	67.0	20	128.3	24
Trieslau Civil Engineering, Tahoe Vista, CA	17.0	25	36.0	24	60.0	21	113.0	25
A. R. Associates, Auburn, CA	8.0	26	27.0	26	55.0	22	90.0	26

SURVEYING	Rater 1		Rater 2		Rater 3		Aggregate Score	Final Rank
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank		
CONSULTANTS:								
Andregg Geomatics, Truckee, CA	99.0	1	91.7	5	85.0	6 (tie)	275.7	1
Stantec Consulting, Sacramento, CA	74.5	8	93.0	4	100.0	1 (tie)	267.5	2
PBS&J, Reno, NV	90.5	3	86.6	6	90.0	4 (tie)	267.1	3
Lumos & Associates, Carson City, NV	86.0	5	94.9	1	85.0	6 (tie)	265.9	4
Psomas, Roseville, CA	92.0	2	93.3	3	80.0	9	265.3	5
Auerbach Engineering Corporation, Tahoe City, CA	69.5	7	94.6	2	100.0	1 (tie)	264.1	6
REVEGETATION DESIGN AND INSPECTION								
Wood Rodgers, Reno, NV	89.0	4	74.8	9	90.0	4 (tie)	253.8	7
Willdan, Sacramento, CA	84.0	6	80.6	8	83.0	8	247.6	8
K.B. Foster, Carnelian Bay, CA	58.5	10	83.0	7	92.0	3	233.5	9
Tri State Surveying, Ltd., Sparks, NV	73.2	9	46.9	12	70.0	10	190.1	10
David Evans and Associates, Roseville, CA	53.0	11	68.4	10	45.0	12	166.4	11
Morton & Pitalo, Inc., Sacramento, CA	37.5	12	54.0	11	67.0	11	158.5	12
Johnson Land Surveys, Inc., Tahoe City, CA	11.0	13	28.5	13	37.0	13	76.5	13

REVEGETATION DESIGN AND INSPECTION	Rater 1		Rater 2		Rater 3		Aggregate Score	Final Rank
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank		
CONSULTANTS:								
Integrated Environmental Restoration Services, Tahoe	91.5	2	93.3	1	92.0	6	276.8	1
Kleinfeider West, Reno, NV	93.0	1	85.4	6	90.0	3 (tie)	268.4	2
Pastore-Ryan, Kings Beach, CA	88.0	3	86.0	5	94.0	2	268.0	3 (tie)
Stantec Consulting, Sacramento, CA	75.0	6	93.0	2	100.0	1	268.0	3 (tie)
ESA Land Management, Sacramento, CA	86.0	4	89.0	4	90.0	3 (tie)	265.0	5
JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc., Reno, NV	84.5	5	84.8	7	85.0	7	254.3	6
PBS&J, Reno, NV	69.5	7	90.7	3	90.0	3 (tie)	250.2	7
Entrix, Inc., S. Lake Tahoe, CA	32.5	8	56.4	8	80.0	8	168.9	8

221

CULTURAL RESOURCE EVALUATIONS	Rater 1		Rater 2		Rater 3		Aggregate Score	Final Rank
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank		
CONSULTANTS:								
Zeier & Associates, LLC, Minden, NV	95.0	1	92.9	2	95.0	2 (tie)	282.9	1
Stantec Consulting, Sacramento, CA	82.0	6	93.0	1	100.0	1	275.0	2
Kautz Environmental Consultants, Inc., Reno, NV	94.5	2	85.3	6	94.0	4	273.8	3
Pacific Legacy, Cameron Park, CA	81.5	7	88.6	3	95.0	2 (tie)	265.1	4
Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis, CA	85.0	4 (tie)	85.9	5	90.0	5	260.9	5
Archaeological Research Center, CSUS, Sacramento, CA	85.0	4 (tie)	87.9	4	85.0	7 (tie)	257.9	6
PBS&J, Reno, NV	68.0	8	82.5	7	86.0	6	236.5	7
PMC, Sacramento, CA	87.5	3	54.6	8	85.0	7 (tie)	227.1	8
Enrix, Inc., S. Lake Tahoe, CA	43.0	9	47.5	9	80.0	9	170.5	9

NOTES:

1. The Public Contract Code prohibits consideration of Local Vendor Preference for these services as they are considered pre-construction activities.
2. Cost has not been considered in this evaluation.

222